Balanced Triad Formation explained by Homophily-induced Dyadic Interactions

Tuan Pham^{1,2}, Jan Kobel^{1,2}, Rudolf Hanel^{1,2}, and Stefan Thurner^{1,2,3}

¹ Section for the Science of Complex Systems, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria,

² Complexity Science Hub Vienna, Josefstadterstrasse 39, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

³ Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, NM 87501, USA

tuan.pham@meduniwien.ac.at

The evolution of social (signed) triads towards the so-called balanced state with either three or one positive link [1] often results in the formation of clusters of positivelylinked agents. We argue that such cluster formation can also emerge from *dyadic* interactions if these interactions are determined by homophily between agents. Without knowledge of triads in their neighbourhoods, the agents modify their opinions so as to minimize a social tension defined via the weighted sum of opinion overlaps with friends and opinion discordance with enemies. The model exhibits a transition from unbalanced- to balanced society at a critical temperature which depends on the number of *independent* binary opinions, *G*, the mean degree, *K*, and the relative strength of positive interactions to that of negative ones, α . As α exceeds 1/2, a transition between the absorbing states with different fractions of balanced triads occurs.



Fig. 1. Order parameter, $f = (n_+ - n_-)/(n_+ + n_-)$, where n_+ and n_- are the numbers of balanced (i.e. with an even number of negative links) and unbalanced ((i.e. with an odd number of negative links) triads, respectively, as a function of the relative strength of positive interactions to that of negative ones, α , and the inverse temperature, β , for two different numbers of independent opinions: (a) G = 9 and (b) G = 27. Results are averaged over 100 runs on ring networks with mean degree K = 8 and the number of nodes N = 1000.

References

1. Heider, F.: Attitudes and Cognitive Organization. Journal of Psychology 21, 107 (1946)